



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/257,127	02/25/1999	KANJI MIHARA	450114-4503	1754
20999	7590 04/28/2003			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
	VENUE- 10TH FL. L, NY 10151		LEE, Y YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Y. Lee

09/257,127

Applicant(s)

Kanji Mihara et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit 2613

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	ETITE DE LE
Period f	or Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE1	MONTH(S) FROM	
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become Al	THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u>		is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-53</u>	are sub	pject to restriction and/or election requirem	ent.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or	b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[\Box approved b) \Box disapproved by the Exa	miner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [x	〗 All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. 🔀 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure.	au (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies r	ot received.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 l	U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	l application has b	een received.	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 t	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm				
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
<i>,</i> ,	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3/ LJ IM	ormation disclosure statement(s) (F10-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:		

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a device for controlling image encoding for use in a system, classified in class 375, subclass 240.01.
 - II. Claims 19-22, 44, and 49, drawn to an encoding system for calculating a target code rate from the encoding difficulty, classified in class 375, subclass 240.02.
 - III. Claims 23-26, 45, and 50, drawn to an encoding system for calculating a target code rate based upon an average value of the target code rate previously determined for each program data, classified in class 375, subclass 240.05.
 - IV. Claims 27-32, 46, and 51, drawn to an encoding system for calculating a temporary code rate for each program data from the encoding difficulty, classified in class 375, subclass 240.03.
 - V. Claims 33-38, 47, and 52, drawn to an encoding system for calculating a temporary code rate regardless of the encoding difficulty, classified in class 375, subclass 240.06.
 - VI. Claims 39-43, 48, and 53, drawn to an encoding system for calculating a temporary code rate based upon an equation for calculating a temporary target code rate from the encoding difficulty, classified in class 375, subclass 240.06.

Art Unit: 2613

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II-VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a device for controlling image encoding for use in a system does not require the particulars of the control means for calculating a target code rate. The subcombination has separate utility such as calculating a final target code rate by first determining a temporary code rate for each program data from the encoding difficulty which is not affected by the encoding difficulty of the other program data.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, V, or VI, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 2-9, 11-18, 20-22, 24-26, 28-32, 34-38, and 40-43 show about six embodiments as illustrated in Figures 4-6 and 9-11.

Art Unit: 2613

Upon electing a single group of invention above, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within the elected group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 10, 19, 23, 27, 33, 39, and 44-53 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2613

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

Y. LEE

Y. Lee/yl April 24, 2003